

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| LAUSTEVEION JOHNSON, | Case No. 2:18-cv-00497-RFB-NJK |
| Petitioner, | ORDER |
| v. | |
| BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al., | |
| Respondents. | |

Petitioner Lausteveion Johnson has submitted a *pro se* habeas corpus petition but he has failed to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the filing fee. Accordingly, this matter has not been properly commenced. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR1-2.

The court further notes that it is unclear that petitioner states any claims that are cognizable on federal habeas review and that it appears that his state-court proceedings are ongoing. See Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 74775. A federal court will not grant a state prisoner’s petition for habeas relief until the prisoner has exhausted his available state remedies for all claims raised. *Rose v. Lundy*, 455 U.S. 509 (1982); 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). A petitioner must give the state courts a fair opportunity to act on each of his claims before he presents those claims in a federal habeas petition. *O’Sullivan v. Boerckel*, 526 U.S. 838, 844 (1999); see also *Duncan v. Henry*, 513 U.S. 364, 365 (1995). A claim remains unexhausted until the petitioner has given the highest available state court the opportunity to consider the claim through

1 direct appeal or state collateral review proceedings. See *Casey v. Moore*, 386 F.3d
2 896, 916 (9th Cir. 2004); *Garrison v. McCarthey*, 653 F.2d 374, 376 (9th Cir. 1981).

3 This federal petition shall be dismissed without prejudice to petitioner filing a new
4 federal habeas petition, in a new case with a new case number and a new, completed
5 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* with the required financial information or the
6 \$5.00 filing fee.

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk **shall file and ELECTRONICALLY**
8 **SERVE** the petition (ECF No. 1-1) on the respondents, for informational purposes only.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall add Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada
10 Attorney General, as counsel for respondents.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as
12 improperly commenced.

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel
15 (ECF No. 3) and motion for evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 4) are both **DENIED** as moot.

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and
17 close this case.

18
19 DATED: April 12, 2018.

20
21 

22 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28